UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,227	04/06/2006	John Elvesjo	2333-141	5664
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 03/11/200 NDERHYE. PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	GREECE, JAMES R		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2873	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/11/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/535,227	ELVESJO ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES R. GREECE	2873				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) JAMES R. GREECE.	(3) <u>Ricky Mack, SPE AU28</u>	<u>73</u> .				
(2) Robert Molan.	(4) <u>Johan Bouvin</u> .					
Date of Interview: <u>05 March 2009</u> .						
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☐ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  If Yes, brief description: Eye tracking device demonstration of capabilities and technical specifications.  amendment overcome the rejection of claim 1.						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Hutchinson US 6,152,563</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The applicant conducted a technical demonstration, provided a proposed amendment to claim 1, and outlined the differences between the Hutchinson reference and the proposed claimed amendment. Examiner indicated that proposed amendment would overcome 102 rejection based on Hutchinson reference.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
/James R Greece/ Examiner, Art Unit 2873	/Ricky L. Mack/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Ui	nit 2873				